Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/858,080	COLLINS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alicia Baturay	2446
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Final</u>		
(1) Alicia Baturay (USPTO).	(3)	
(2) Philip Lyren (Reg. #40,709).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 August 2010	Time: <u>3pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:  ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 13, 17, 18, 22, 25, and 26		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Benjamin R Bruckart/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2446 (A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

After discussing the allowable subject matter with her primary examiner, the examiner called Attorney and said that if the limitations in claims 17 and 18 were moved into independent claim 13, and the parallel limitations in claims 25 and 26 were moved into independent claim 22, the case would be in condition for allowance. Attorney declined.